IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM

PRESENT :

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE K.K.DENESAN

WEDNESDAY, THE 10TH JANUARY 2007 / 20TH PAUSHA 1928

WP(C).No. 541 of 2007(M)

PETITIONER:

1. BALA MURALI.G.,PCK 6102, DAR KOTTAYAM.

2. BINU K.JACOB,PCK 6103, DAR KOTTAYAM.

3. YASMIR, PCK 6211,DAR KOTTAYAM.

4. ANIL KUMAR, PCK 6212,DAR KOTTAYAM,

5. MANOJ C, PCK 6371, DAR KOTTAYAM.

BY ADV. SRI.JOSHI N.THOMAS

RESPONDENTS:

1. STATE OF KERALA,
REP. BY THE PRINCIPAL HOME SECRETARY,
HOME DEPARTMENT, SECRETARIAT,
THIRUVANANTHAPURAM.

2. DIRECTOR GENERAL OF POLICE,
POLICE HEAD QUARTERS,
VAZHUTHAKADU,
THIRUVANANTHAPURAM.

3. SUPERINTENDENT OF POLICE,
OFFICE OF THE DISTRICT SUPERINTENDENT OF POLICE,
KOTTAYAM.

4. SURESH K.K.,PCK 5903, DAR KOTTAYAM.

5. SUNIL S.N., PCK 5904, DAR KOTTAYAM.

6. ANIL KUMAR P.D. PCK 6078, DAR KOTTAYAM.

7. SURESH KUMAR, PCK 6079,
DAR KOTTAYAM.

BY

THIS WRIT PETITION (CIVIL) HAVING COME UP FOR ADMISSION ON 10/01/2007, THE COURT ON THE SAME DAY DELIVERED THE FOLLOWING:

K.K.DENESAN, J

W.P.(C)NO.541 of 2007

Dated this the 10th day of January, 2007

JUDGMENT

Ext.P5 is the provisional seniority list of AR PCs of Kottayam District as on 1.1.2006 published as per Order dated 7.12.2006. The petitioners have filed objections against Ext.P5 evidenced by Exts.P6 to P10 representations. It is contended that the principles laid down by this Court in the matter of determination of seniority have been given a goby while assigning ranks to some of those who are included in Ext.P5, respondents 4 to 7, in particular.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that if Ext.P5 is allowed to hold the field, the rights of the petitioners will be adversely affected, and therefore, expeditious decision is necessary on the representations filed by the petitioners.

3. Heard the learned Government Pleader for respondents 1 to 3. In the nature of the order I propose to pass, it is not necessary to issue notice to respondents 4 to 7, at this stage.

4. In the circumstances, I am inclined to dispose of the writ petition issuing appropriate directions to the third respondent. There shall be an order directing the third respondent to consider the objections raised by the petitioners in Exts.P6 to P10 after affording an

opportunity of being heard to the petitioners and respondents 4 to 7 and to take decision in accordance with law, as expeditiously as possible, in any event, within two months from the date of receipt of a copy of the judgment along with a copy of the writ petition to be produced by the first petitioner.

The writ petition is disposed of as above.

K.K.DENESAN, JUDGE

css/